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## USA2 ... Results of the Final:

| $\#$ | TEAM | TOTAL | $1-15$ | $16-30$ | $31-45$ | $46-60$ | $61-75$ | $76-90$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | Simson | 228 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |
| 3 | Street | 174 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |

## Congratulations to Team Simson ... India Bound!

Thanks to Matt Smith and McKenzie Myers for keeping us on track... for keeping the play moving and keeping the players on schedule.

Thanks to Suzi Subeck for writing the Daily Bulletins... and to Stan Subeck for running them to the site nightly so they were there in time for breakfast in the morning.

Thanks to Stan Subeck for taking the photos in the absence of Peggy Kaplan who wanted to be here but was unable to attend.

Thanks to Robb Gordon for being on call for appeals.
Thanks to the VuGraph operators who did a great job monitoring the play and providing the world with access to our game.

Thanks to the players for coming to Schaumburg. You all played great. We appreciate your attendance. Good luck to the winners... Have fun and do well in India this fall!
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2nd place, USA2—Street, Passell, Bramley, Jacobus, Wold, Stansby


Winners: USA2-Zwerling, J. Clerkin, Tolliver, Aker, Simson, D. Clerkin



## Plan to Attend the 2015 Summer NABC August 6-16 Hilton and Towers Downtown Chicago

## Being in the Right Place at the Right Time ...

| Board 7 ค A643 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment 3 , AJ2 |  |  |  |
| Dealer: S , AJ2 |  |  |  |
| All Vul. | - | A753 |  |
|  | $\%$ | AT |  |
| ^ KO5 ^ T972 |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$ Q9754 |  | $\uparrow$ | - KT63 |
| - AKO2 |  | 1 | - 6 |
| \& K |  |  | \& J986 |
|  | $\uparrow$ | J8 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 8 |  |
|  | - | T984 |  |
|  | \% | Q754 |  |

Both teams play strong club systems and the auctions were identical in both rooms.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aker | Jacobus | Simson | Street |
| Passell | J Clerkin | Wold | D Clerkin |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1 C (strong) | Pass | 1D (neg) | Pass |
| 1 H | Pass | 2 NT | Pass |
| 4 H | All Pass |  |  |

In the Open Room, Jacobus led the CA and continued with the CT to the jack and queen, ruffed with the H4. Aker cashed the DA and ruffed a diamond in dummy. Time to play trump...

Did you ever notice how common it is among bridge players, with essentially even odds, missing the AJ, to lead toward the high honor in the opposing hand and back to the 9 or T where it started?

In keeping with this custom, Aker led a trump to the queen, losing to the ace. Jacobus led a diamond. Aker won in hand and for whatever reason, disdained the possibility of the 2-2 split and successfully finessed the HT... making 4H!

In the Closed Room, J Clerkin led the D5. Passell won in hand and immediately played on trump. Since he was in his hand, he led a heart to the king. He might simply have been playing for the $2-2$, but when Denny showed out, he inserted the nine, losing to Jerry's jack. Jerry cashed his aces and the contract was light one. 12 IMPs to Simson.


ค 875

| Stansby | Tolliver | Bramley | Zwerling |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1H | Pass | 2C | 2NT (minors) |
| 3S | 4NT (more minors) | 6H | All Pass |
| D Clerkin | Passell | J Clerkin | Wold |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1 H | Pass | 2NT (for. H raise) | 3NT (minors) |
| $4 N T$ | 5D | Pass | Pass |
| $5 H$ | Pass | 5NT (GSF) | Pass |
| $7 H$ |  |  |  |

- KT952
* KO952

The Clerkin brothers earned their 11 IMPs on this board. They had a great auction to reach the cold grand slam. Jerry chose well to use 2 NT as a forcing raise in hearts. It set trump early and made the whole auction easier. There was nothing to the play. Passell led a spade and Denny claimed 13 tricks. +1510

In the other room, Bramley chose a somewhat obscure response of 2 C to his partner's 1 H opening. (He later commented that he wished he had chosen 2 NT to establish the trump suit.)

When Zwerling bid 2NT (minors) and Stansby showed his spades, Tolliver furthered the confusion with 4NT, and the auction was jammed. Bramley was in a situation where exploration was no longer possible. Anything he bid could be passed and an ace ask would ask in spades. He did the best he could. He took the middle ground and bid 6 H .

11 IMPs to Simson ... the score after 60: 145 Simson to 98 Street ... Someone who's team name starts with S will almost surely win!

|  |  |  | 6 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 8 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 9 |  |
|  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 7 | 5 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 9 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 | 7 |  | 8 |  |  |  |

Sudoku 1


|  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 7 | 6 |
|  |  | 9 |  |  | 6 |  | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| 7 |  | 6 |  |  |  | 5 |  | 4 |
|  | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 9 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 1 | 6 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 9 |  |
|  |  | 7 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |

Sudoku 2 Solution

| 1 | $\downarrow$ | 8 | 9 | 9 | Z | $L$ | 6 | $\varepsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 6 | $L$ | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | $\downarrow$ | 乙 | 9 | $\downarrow$ |
| 乙 | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | $\downarrow$ | $L$ | 6 | 8 | † | ¢ |
| 8 | 乙 | 9 | $L$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon$ | 9 | 6 |
| t | $\downarrow$ | 9 | 8 | 6 | $\varepsilon$ | 9 | 乙 | $L$ |
| $L$ | $\varepsilon$ | 6 | 乙 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 七 |
| $\varepsilon$ | 9 | $\downarrow$ | 9 | $\downarrow$ | 8 | 6 | $L$ | Z |
| 9 | $L$ | 乙 | 6 | $\varepsilon$ | 9 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 8 |
| 6 | 8 | l | 7 | 乙 | $L$ | G | $\varepsilon$ | 9 |

##  M1 Mi i ma！！

Sudoku 1 Solution

| $\varepsilon$ | 9 | 6 | 8 | 9 | $L$ | Z | し | t |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ | $L$ | 8 | て | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon$ | 6 | 9 |
| 乙 | し | t | 9 | 6 | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | ¢ | $L$ |
| $L$ | Z | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | し | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | t | 8 |
| 6 | 七 | ¢ | $L$ | 8 | 乙 | 1 | 9 | $\varepsilon$ |
| 8 | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | $\dagger$ | 9 | 9 | 6 | $L$ | Z |
| 9 | 6 | $L$ | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | t | 乙 | 1 |
| $\downarrow$ | 8 | 乙 | 6 | $L$ | ナ | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | ¢ |
| $\dagger$ | G | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 乙 | 9 | $L$ | 8 | 6 |

## Puzzle Page ．．．

## ACTORS：

OMIT CURSE $(3,6)$

A PAL ICON $(2,6)$

COOL EGO ENERGY $(6,7)$

GERMAN FOREMAN $(6,7)$

LESS RELEVANT TO SLY $(9,9)$

WILL USE CRIB $(5,6)$

INERT BROODER $(6,2,4)$

COOL RAINDROP IDEA $(8,2,6)$

MONK HATS $(3,5)$

BIG MELONS $(3,6)$

JAW DUEL（4，3）

SCOWL ANTIDOTE $(5,8)$
ACTRESSES：

LENGTHY PART WOW $(7,7)$

RAINY WONDER $(6,5)$

WARY UNIVERSE EGO $(9,6)$

IN FINE TORN JEANS $(8,7)$

NO ALIENS DARLING $(7,8)$

REALLY HERB $(5,5)$

IDOL NICKNAME $(6,6)$

NO EAGLE IN JAIL $(8,5)$

SEEN AT A RICH JET－ZONE $(9,4-5)$

TWEAK TINSEL $(5,6)$

ROBUST JAILER $(6,6)$

## © HMK．LIC．

I used to read the tabloids， but when real news is weirder，what＇s the point？


## Answers to Puzzle
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This week, Bart Bramley told me about one of his personal philosophies, which he picked up at MIT: six diamonds always makes (SDAM). He even has it on his convention card. It's not surprising that his team, Street, picked up a swing in 6 en route to a comeback victory against Morse in the USA2 semifinal match. This was the second board final segment of the Morse-Street match:

Board 17
Vul: None
Dealer: North


Open Room:

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Woolsey | Stansby | Stewart | Bramley |
| --- | -- | $1 \star 1$ | 1 |
| $2 \star^{2}$ | 3 | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Closed Room:

| South | West | North | East |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wold | Feldman | Passell | Martel |
| --- | -- | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 5 | Pass | 6 | Pass |
|  |  |  | All Pass |

1: $2+$ diamonds, $10-15 \mathrm{HCP}$
2: forcing
3: mixed raise
In the Open Room, Bramley led the Q and Stewart eventually made 12 tricks by setting up clubs. In the Closed Room, Martel led the $\vee$. Passell cashed three rounds of hearts, pitching dummy's club, ruffed out the clubs, and made 13 tricks: 11 imps to Street, who took the lead.

Later in the segment, Stansby declared 4a here:
Board 24
Vul: None
Dealer: West

|  | North <br> - 6 <br> - Q7652 <br> - A73 <br> - 4932 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West |  | East |  |
| ¢ K92 |  | ¢ AJ8743 |  |
| - AJ98 |  | - K103 |  |
| - Q102 |  | - J9 |  |
| * KJ5 |  | * Q6 |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - Q105 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 4$ |  |  |
|  | - K8654 |  |  |
|  | -10874 |  |  |
| South | West | North | East |
| Woolsey | Stansby | Stewart | Bramley |
| --- | 1NT ${ }^{1}$ | Pass | $4{ }^{2}$ |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |
| 1: 14-16 |  |  |  |
| 2: transfe | ades |  |  |

## Have a safe

 trip home!South
Q105

- K8654
- 10874

2: transfer to spades
Stewart led the $\mathbf{V}$ ( 3 rd from even, low from odd), and dummy's $\mathbf{\vee} 10$ held. This marked Stewart with $\mathbf{V}$ Qxx or VQxxxx. Stansby thought a lead from $V$ Qxx was impossible on the auction, so he placed Stewart with QQxxxx, which made Woolsey a favorite to hold length in spades. Stansby cashed the 4 A , and with Woolsey holding Q10x and being able to ruff a heart and cash the AK and for down one and a push.

After the hand, Stansby told Woolsey if Stewart had followed with the 10 , he would have finessed Woolsey for $₫ \mathrm{Qxx}$, making his contract. "Oh, that would be nasty!" was Woolsey's response.

With two boards to play, Morse trailed by 12 imps and desperately needed a swing here:
Board 29
Vul: All
Dealer: North


4d made with an overtrick at both tables, but, of course, SDAM. If Woolsey (South) had arrived in 6 he could have gotten revenge on Stansby (West) by cashing the $\uparrow A$, dropping the 10 , and running the $\downarrow$, later finding the 2 for 12 tricks.

Is this realistic? Not really. First of all, North-South would have to reach 6 on their combined 25 HCP and then Woolsey would have needed to play West for specifically singleton $\uparrow 10$, rather than $\&$-doubleton or -third. But it would have made for an incredible story.

## Did you spot it? By Oren Kriegel

This deal arose in the third segment of the MORSE-STREET semifinal match for USA2:
Board 8
Vul: None
Dealer: West
North

- A984
- Q109852
- 95
- 4

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| - 10 | - KQ52 |
| - AK43 | $\checkmark 6$ |
| - KQ3 | - A10762 |
| - A8762 | - Q105 |

South

- J763
- J7
- J84
- KJ93

| South | West | North | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martel | Stansby | Feldman | Bramley |
| --- | 1*1 | 2 - | $3{ }^{2}$ |
| Pass | 4* | Pass | $4{ }^{3}$ |
| Pass | 4NT ${ }^{4}$ | Pass | 5* |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

1: strong, artificial, forcing
2: three-suited, short hearts
3: keycard for clubs
4: 2 keycards, no $Q$
 ducked his ace, Stansby was in with a chance. He advanced the 2 from dummy-K, A - and led the 2 to the 10 , getting the bad news when Feldman discarded a heart. After winning the J , Martel led a spade, ruffed by declarer. The position was now:

```
North
4 A9
\bullet Q985
* 95
* ---
```

East

- Q5
- ---
- A10762
- 5

West

- ---
- K43
- KQ3
- 87

South

- J6
$\bullet$ J
- J84
(acontinued on page 10)
- 93

With West on lead, would you like to play or defend?

You should defend. There are several variations:
(1) Say declarer ruffs a heart in dummy and plays four rounds of diamonds (or takes three rounds of hearts, then ruffs a heart, then leads the fourth round of diamonds). South ruffs low. Declarer must overruff and remains with a heart loser at the end.
(2) It is no better to cash the $\mathbf{V}$ K and then ruff a heart: South refuses to overruff, discarding a diamond, and now can ruff the third round of diamonds. If declarer plays a diamond to his hand and plays a trump, South wins and returns a spade, which promotes his $\$ 3$.
(3) If declarer takes three rounds of diamonds ending in his hand and plays $\mathbf{\vee}$ K and ruffs a heart, South overruffs and returns a black card, locking declarer in hand with a heart loser.

At the table, declarer took a fourth line: He played four rounds of diamonds at once. South ruffed low, so declarer overruffed, ruffed a heart in dummy, and the fifth diamond took care of his losing heart (whether South ruffed or not). However, the defense has a counter here too: South ruffs with the 9 and exits the \&3. Declarer must win in hand and loses a heart.

So should 5 always fail, even when North ducks the $\uparrow$ ? No! Declarer must preserve the 2 . He plays along the same lines, leaving:

```
North
- A9
\bullet Q985
* 95
& ---
South
4 J6
\bullet J
* J84
+93
```

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| --- | - Q5 |
| - K43 | $\checkmark$-- |
| - KQ3 | - A10762 |
| - 82 | - 5 |

Now playing four rounds of diamonds succeeds: If South ruffs low, declarer overruffs and ruffs a heart, producing the ending that was occurred at the table. With the 2 left, South cannot defeat the contract by ruffing high, because declarer can let the 3 ride around to dummy's 5 and cash the fifth diamond.


